مركز البيان للدراسات والتخطيط
Al-Bayan Center for Studies and Planning

2026/7/18

Urgent Monetary and Financial Reforms in Light of the Oil Export Crisis and Bloated Operating Expenditures in Iraq

Dr. Ali Al-Rawi

● **Policy Paper**

Al-Bayan Center Studies Series

Policy Paper

2026/7/18

Urgent Monetary and Financial Reforms in Light of the Oil Export Crisis and Bloated Operating Expenditures in Iraq

Series of publications of Al-Bayan Center for Studies and Planning
Research Department / Economic Studies

Publication / Policy Paper

Topic /Economy and Development

Dr. Ali Al-Rawi / PhD in Monetary Economics

About

Al-Bayan Center for Planning and Studies is an independent, nonprofit think tank based in Baghdad, Iraq. Its primary mission is to offer an authentic perspective on public and foreign policy issues related to Iraq and the region.

Al-Bayan Center pursues its vision by conducting independent analysis, as well as proposing workable solutions for complex issues that concern policymakers and academics.

www.bayancenter.org
info@bayancenter.org
Since 2014

I. Executive Summary

- Restructuring government spending is one of the most critical reform steps required in the upcoming phase, not only due to declining oil revenues but because the current nature of spending can no longer keep pace with growing economic challenges.
- The required reform does not mean reducing salaries or harming low-income classes. Rather, it means reviewing the expenditure structure itself, reducing financial and administrative waste, and re-evaluating government priorities more realistically and efficiently.
- Adopting the principle of "spending efficiency" instead of "spending volume," ensuring that the success of government institutions is measured by production levels, service quality, and actual results, rather than merely by the number of employees or the size of financial allocations.
- Reviewing contracts and eliminating unnecessary expenditures.
- Increasing reliance on digital systems and e-governance.
- Directing a larger portion of the budget toward

productive and strategic projects.

- Many business owners in Iraq face daily pressure from multiple regulatory and administrative bodies. Investors find themselves besieged by approvals, procedures, and follow-ups from various entities-such as municipalities, civil defense, tax authorities, the mayoralty, and other regulatory departments. This creates an environment that repels investment rather than attracting it.
- Addressing overlapping jurisdictions and the transformation of certain procedures into sources of pressure and extortion that exhaust project owners and prompt them to consider withdrawing rather than expanding.
- Transitioning from a mentality of complication to one of business facilitation by building institutions that treat investors as essential partners in building the national economy, not merely as entities requiring more approvals and routine paperwork.
- Prioritizing the private banking sector, which must play a greater role in supporting the real economy instead of remaining limited to traditional short-term activities. Project financing should be based on actual completion

rates, with periodic follow-ups on funded projects, the provision of consulting and administrative support to investors, the linking of financing to real production and employment, and the offering of reasonable guarantees that encourage serious investors instead of obstructing them with nearly impossible conditions.

- Reorganizing the Iraqi market to be more competitive and flexible. Creating a productive work environment requires genuine competition that drives skill development and raises productivity, whether through training local cadres or organizing the recruitment of foreign labor based on actual market needs and clear conditions that ensure the transfer of expertise while stimulating local workers to develop and compete.
- Developing the operations of the Companies Registrar Directorate, transforming it from a complex administrative body into a flexible service institution that facilitates fast, clear, and transparent business establishment.
- Protecting the Iraqi dinar cannot rely solely on injecting dollars into the market or temporary interventions, because the core problem is tied to building long-term economic confidence. Furthermore,

the persistent gap between the official exchange rate and the parallel market has created an environment ripe for speculation, smuggling, and quick profiteering at the expense of monetary stability. As this gap widens, the illicit exploitation of price differences increases, and certain economic activities shift from genuine production and trade to mere currency speculation.

- The current phase demands deeper, more measured monetary reforms aimed at achieving a balance between protecting monetary reserves, ensuring the continuation of legitimate trade and imports, and reducing speculation within the parallel market.
- During the upcoming phase, monetary policy must direct the dollar exclusively toward real economic activity by tightening oversight on fictitious transfers and non-productive operations, while facilitating procedures for the genuine merchants and importers who form the backbone of market activity.
- Enhancing confidence in the Iraqi dinar by expanding the use of banking systems and electronic payments, and reducing excessive reliance on physical cash. This is in addition to encouraging dinar-denominated savings and investment instruments to break the market's

psychological dependence on the dollar.

- Monetary measures must be accompanied by clear, transparent government messaging that reassures markets and citizens, as a large portion of Iraq's monetary volatility is fueled by rumors and a lack of clarity in economic vision.
- Protecting the Iraqi dinar during the upcoming phase requires comprehensive economic reform, not merely temporary monetary measures designed to contain short-term crises.

II. **Introduction**

Iraq is currently navigating an economic phase that is considered one of its most sensitive in many years. This is due not only to traditional financial challenges but also to the simultaneous convergence of several complex crises. Some of these are internal, stemming from years of accumulated economic and administrative mismanagement, while others are external, linked to escalating geopolitical tensions in the region that now directly impact energy market stability and global trade.

Over the past decades, the Iraqi economy has been built on a single resource that serves as the primary lifeblood of the state's finances: oil. Although this resource provided Iraq with massive financial inflows during periods of high prices and political stability, excessive reliance on it has left the economy highly vulnerable to any political, security, or economic disruptions in global energy markets. Countries that rely on a single resource often appear formidable in times of abundance, but they become exceedingly fragile at the first real test.

Today, amid escalating regional tensions and the potential disruption or restriction of oil exports through the Strait of Hormuz, Iraq faces an exceptional challenge: the looming threat of a decline in the financial inflows upon which it almost entirely relies to fund salaries, operating expenditures, development projects, and public services. This vulnerability is compounded by bloated government spending, a growing public workforce, the accumulation of deferred financial obligations, and the pressures resulting from delayed government formation, which subsequently slowed economic and reform decision-making.

The greatest danger facing rentier economies is not merely a decline in revenues, but the loss of maneuverability when crises strike. When the state assumes responsibility for funding the vast majority of economic activity and directly or indirectly supporting millions of citizens, any disruption in revenue quickly devolves into monetary, social, and psychological pressure that simultaneously impacts the market, the citizen, and the investor.

Therefore, the current phase does not merely require temporary solutions or immediate remedies; rather, it demands a balanced, reformative vision that simultaneously protects monetary stability and initiates concrete economic steps to reduce the Iraqi economy's medium- and long-term fragility. Major crises, despite their severity, can sometimes serve as moments of historical reckoning that rearrange priorities and spur the creation of a more stable, sustainable economic model.

Accordingly, this paper seeks to provide a realistic assessment of current monetary and financial challenges while proposing a set of urgent, actionable reform measures. The aim is to help the new government navigate the current phase with minimal losses and maintain economic and social stability within a highly volatile regional and international environment.

III. The Fragility of the Rentier Economy and Iraq's Excessive Dependence on Oil

It is well known to specialists and observers of Iraqi economic affairs that the fundamental problem plaguing the economy for years is its excessive dependence on oil, contrasted with a glaring neglect of other productive and developmental sectors. This reliance has not strengthened the Iraqi economy; rather, it has made it hyper-sensitive to any external shifts-whether political, security-related, or economic-to the point where global markets and regional tensions can directly dictate Iraq's internal financial stability.

Today, we clearly see how recent regional tensions, including the potential closure of the Strait of Hormuz or disruptions to maritime navigation, have placed a massive psychological and economic burden on both the state and the market, exposing the utter fragility of the Iraqi economy. Iraq lacks diverse economic channels to absorb shocks; it relies almost entirely on an uninterrupted flow of daily oil revenues to finance the general budget, salaries, operating expenditures, and basic services.

The most perilous aspect of rentier economies is not just their tethering to a single resource, but how the state itself becomes the primary engine of all economic life. The local market, trade volume, monetary liquidity, and even the citizen's purchasing power are all inextricably linked to oil-funded government spending. Consequently, any disruption in oil exports or prices quickly translates into widespread monetary, financial, and social distress.

During years of oil abundance, it was entirely possible to invest windfalls into building a more balanced and sustainable economy by supporting industry, agriculture, renewable energy, technology, and the private sector. Instead, the bulk of spending was directed toward operational and consumer expansion. Government employment surged to the point where the economy became wholly dependent on the state, rather than the state serving as a catalyst for a productive, diversified economy.

Furthermore, the negligible contribution of non-oil sectors has resulted in limited alternative revenues, leaving the general budget constantly vulnerable to any drop in oil prices or export disruptions. Iraq today is not just facing a temporary crisis linked to regional tensions; it is facing a genuine stress test of the current economic model's ability to survive in a politically and economically volatile world.

This crisis must be interpreted as a stark economic warning:

continued near-total reliance on oil is no longer a viable option, particularly in a region that is among the most susceptible to geopolitical turbulence globally. Every new crisis begs the same question: For how long will the Iraqi economy remain tethered to a single resource and largely a single export route?

Building a resilient economy cannot be achieved through stopgap solutions or quick financial fixes. It requires a fundamental restructuring of the national economy. This begins with gradually reducing oil dependency, enhancing productive sectors, and fostering a diverse, stable economic environment capable of shielding the state and society from external shocks and sudden crises.

IV. The Impact of Strait of Hormuz Disruptions on Oil Flows and the Iraqi Economy

Recent regional tensions and the associated threats to navigation in the Strait of Hormuz have delivered a direct economic shock to Iraq, thrusting one of the economy's most critical vulnerabilities back into the spotlight: its near-total reliance on a single export route to finance the state.

It is well known that Iraq is one of the countries most dependent on the Strait of Hormuz, as the vast majority of its oil exports from the southern ports-which represent the backbone of public revenues-pass through this corridor. International reports indicate that, prior to the recent escalation, Iraq exported approximately 3.3 to 3.4 million barrels of oil per day via this route, accounting for over 90% of its total oil exports.

As tensions and security risks escalated, export movements faced severe disruptions. Economic reports indicated that Iraqi oil exports suffered significant declines, hitting critical lows at times, resulting in massive financial losses and mounting pressure on public finances.

According to published estimates, Iraq faced potential losses exceeding $300 million daily due to the disruption of a significant portion of its oil exports-equivalent to over $6 billion monthly if the disruptions persisted. These figures do not merely represent lost oil sales; they represent a direct reduction in the dollar inflows entering the country, immediately severely constraining the state's ability to finance salaries, operating expenditures, projects, and various financial obligations.

The danger of this crisis transcends the oil sector; the entirety of the Iraqi economy is now hostage to the daily flow of oil revenues. The state relies on these funds to manage the bulk of domestic economic activity, from public salaries and social welfare to local market liquidity, project financing, imports, and exchange rate stabilization.

The crisis also highlighted Iraq's lack of export alternatives. Existing alternative routes are incapable of compensating for the massive volumes shipped through the Arabian Gulf. While the Kirkuk-Ceyhan pipeline exists, its current operational capacity remains limited compared to southern export volumes. This places the Iraqi economy in a severe "economic chokepoint," entirely dependent on a single maritime route that is highly sensitive to geopolitical strife.

These developments rapidly impacted the markets both psychologically and economically. Fears regarding monetary stability surged, and the market became hyper-sensitive to news concerning navigation or oil prices. Prolonged crises of this nature inevitably lead to increased dollar demand, the expansion of the parallel market, and heightened anxiety among investors and the private sector.

The fallout from this crisis must not be viewed merely as an anomaly, but as an urgent warning that Iraq must overhaul its economic and oil strategy. This requires diversifying export outlets, mitigating excessive oil dependence, and bolstering productive sectors that can generate stable, sustainable revenue streams.

Geopolitical crises will inevitably recur in various forms, but robust economies possess the shock-absorbing capacity to withstand them. Conversely, an economy whose financial survival hinges on the security of a single maritime corridor remains inherently vulnerable.

V. The Bloated Government Spending and the Pressure on Monetary Stability

Iraq's economic dilemma is no longer confined to oil price volatility or regional disputes; it is directly rooted in the heavily bloated government spending that has accumulated over the years. This spending has become an anchor, consuming the lion's share of state resources and crippling the capacity to build a productive, stable economy.

Today, budget estimates indicate that more than 70% of government spending is allocated to operating expenditures-primarily salaries, pensions, social welfare, and government subsidies-while investment spending and genuine developmental funding remain starkly low. This ratio is a critical warning sign for a country that still urgently needs to reconstruct its infrastructure and modernize its industrial, agricultural, service, education, and energy sectors.

The unavoidable question today is: How can a developing state consume the vast majority of its resources solely on operational expenses? And how long can an oil-dependent economy survive if its revenues are exhausted on fixed overhead rather than on productive projects capable of generating sustainable wealth?

Over the years, public sector employment shifted from an administrative necessity to a primary tool for absorbing unemployment and easing social pressure. The state morphed into the country's largest employer, regardless of actual institutional need or employee productivity.

Consequently, "disguised unemployment" has become widespread across government institutions. Economic assessments indicate that a large proportion of public sector jobs yield no real productivity commensurate with their financial cost to the state.

To simplify the economic reality: if a private sector company retains a massive workforce of unproductive employees, it will eventually face a financial crisis. An unproductive employee is not just a fixed cost; over time, they become a drain on resources without adding value or generating revenue. How much more disastrous is this dynamic when scaled up to an entire state dependent on a volatile commodity like oil?

The current reality dictates that Iraq sells the vast majority of its oil merely to cover payroll and operating costs, not to diversify its economy or execute sustainable development projects. While the country desperately requires massive capital investments in infrastructure, energy, industry, education, and technology, financial priorities remain locked into covering mounting, inescapable operational obligations year after year.

What exacerbates this situation is that development and reform plans have largely remained confined to theoretical frameworks and political rhetoric, lacking the sustained execution required to alter the economy's structural makeup. As a result, every incoming government inherits the crippling burdens of its predecessors-debts, obligations, salaries, stalled projects, and pent-up socio-economic pressures-severely restricting its ability to maneuver and enact radical reforms.

The core issue does not lie with the Iraqi employee, nor with the citizen’s right to dignified work. Rather, it lies in the absence of an economic model capable of generating productive employment outside the public sector. The state cannot serve as society’s sole employer indefinitely, particularly given rapid population growth and the influx of youth entering the labor market each year.

Hence, there is an urgent need to redefine the relationship between the public and private sectors through a gradual transition away from an economy reliant on government hiring toward one driven by production, investment, and private enterprise. The private sector is no longer an optional secondary pillar; it is an absolute necessity to salvage the labor market, alleviate public financial pressure, and harness the energy of the growing youth demographic.

Building a robust private sector does not require the state to abdicate its responsibilities. Instead, it requires the state to evolve from a “direct employer” into an “organizer, supporter, and catalyst” for the economy. It must provide the legal framework, investment climate, and infrastructure that allow businesses to thrive and generate real employment.

Amid current crises, delaying the restructuring of government spending, the reduction of financial waste, and the stimulation of the private sector will leave the economy dangerously exposed to future shocks. Therefore, economic reform is no longer a political luxury to be deferred; it is an existential requirement to guarantee the state's fiscal and social stability in the coming years.

VI. **Pressure on Monetary Stability and the Exchange Market**

The most perilous challenge rentier economies face during crises is not just a revenue shortfall, but the erosion of monetary confidence within the market. Today, the Iraqi dinar does not operate in a vacuum distinct from oil, nor is the local market psychologically isolated from surrounding political and regional events. Any political turbulence or delay in government decision-making translates immediately into direct pressure on the exchange market and dollar liquidity inside Iraq.

Recently, delays in government formation escalated uncertainty within the markets, particularly amid growing speculation about tighter restrictions on dollar flows into Iraq. This drove a broad cross-section of traders, merchants, and speculators to hoard dollars out of fear of future exchange rate hikes. This panic manifested clearly in the parallel market, which experienced rapid volatility driven more by psychological panic than underlying economic fundamentals.

Furthermore, rumors suggesting that dollar supplies might be restricted until the political landscape stabilized compounded market anxiety. The Iraqi economy relies heavily on dollar flows generated by oil sales and the external transfer mechanisms tied to the global financial system. In sensitive economies, the mere suggestion that dollar access might be constrained is enough to spark massive market demand.

This anxiety subsided somewhat following the appointment of the Prime Minister and the stabilization of the political scene. This correction helped stabilize parallel market prices and restored relative balance to exchange dynamics. However, this correction does not mean the crisis is resolved or that confidence is fully restored; the market continues to tread cautiously due to persistent economic and regional uncertainties.

Furthermore, regional instability and the closure of the Strait of Hormuz, or the disruption of some shipping traffic, have directly impacted trade, imports, and travel, leading to a relative decrease in the real demand for dollars related to trade, travel, and regular remittances. Consequently, a significant portion of current market demand is driven not by real economic necessity, but by speculation and arbitrage-efforts to profit from the spread between the official and parallel exchange rates.

Currency speculation during crises has become a primary drain on monetary stability. Traders prioritize quick arbitrage profits over directing liquidity into productive economic activity. This is not merely a temporary financial hiccup; it actively erodes public trust in the market and amplifies anxiety regarding the dinar's future and broader economic stability.

This highlights the critical role the Central Bank must play in navigating the current phase with both caution and flexibility-not solely by injecting dollars or heavily regulating the market, but by preserving monetary confidence and preventing psychological anxiety from snowballing into a full-blown financial crisis. Monetary crises typically begin with a deficit of trust before they become a deficit of liquidity. When citizens or merchants view the future as opaque, their automatic reflex is to protect their wealth by hoarding dollars or scaling back economic activity.

Furthermore, the enduring gap between the official rate and the parallel market creates a fertile breeding ground for speculation, smuggling, and the depletion of national resources. This necessitates deeper monetary and banking reforms to ensure that dollars are channeled toward legitimate trade and verified imports, rather than serving as a vehicle for quick profits in the parallel market.

Monetary stability in Iraq is no longer dictated simply by the size of foreign reserves or the Central Bank's intervention capacity. It is inextricably linked to the state's ability to forge political and economic trust, and to communicate a clear, cohesive vision regarding the economy's future and fiscal policy.

Therefore, safeguarding the Iraqi dinar requires more than monetary adjustments; it requires political stability, structural economic reform, and transparent government discourse. Ultimately, a currency's strength is built not on numbers alone, but on the public's faith in the future of their nation and economy.

VII. **The Proposed Monetary and Financial Reform Map**

Diagnosing economic ailments without prescribing actionable solutions is insufficient for ushering in a stable era, especially given Iraq's current precarious circumstances. Despite their severity, today's challenges remain manageable if approached with long-term economic foresight rather than temporary, reactionary measures.

The recent crisis exposed the sheer scale of the structural imbalances embedded within the Iraqi economy: excessive oil dependency, bloated operational spending, a fragile exchange market, and the marginal output of productive sectors. It also proved that continuing to manage the economy with outdated, traditional tools will leave the state defenseless against future shocks in a highly volatile geopolitical environment.

Consequently, the government must pivot from "crisis management" to "organized, gradual reform." This requires a cohesive package of monetary, financial, and administrative policies designed to protect current economic stability while laying the groundwork for a diversified, sustainable economic future.

These reforms do not require imposing draconian measures or burdening citizens further. Their primary goal is to reorder economic priorities, eliminate waste, optimize spending efficiency, and foster an environment where the private sector and domestic production can meaningfully support the national economy.

First: Restructuring Government Spending

Restructuring government expenditures is arguably the most critical reform needed in the coming phase. This is necessary not only because of volatile oil revenues, but because the current spending structure is fundamentally unequipped to handle mounting economic challenges.

Channeling the vast majority of the budget into operating expenditures while neglecting investment and production has created chronic fiscal instability. The state now relies almost entirely on oil to cover daily overhead, rather than allocating resources to build a productive economy that generates sustainable wealth.

Reform does not mean slashing salaries or hurting low-income families. It means overhauling the expenditure framework, cutting administrative and financial waste, and pragmatically reassessing state priorities. Numerous secondary and consumer-driven expenses can be reorganized without jeopardizing essential services or social stability.

The state must also reconsider its policy of uncalculated public sector expansion. It must redirect capital toward productive projects, infrastructure, and sectors capable of generating authentic, sustainable employment. A government that exhausts its wealth solely on operational overhead will never achieve long-term development, regardless of how high oil prices spike.

It is imperative to shift from a metric of "spending volume" to one of "spending efficiency." Institutional success must be evaluated based on output, service delivery, and tangible results, rather than headcount or budget size. Modern economies are built not on the sheer scale of spending, but on the ability of that spending to generate real economic value.

In the near term, the government must adopt a balanced, phased reform approach that includes:

- Reviewing contracts and eliminating unnecessary expenditures.
- Reducing administrative and financial waste.
- Halting the haphazard expansion of public sector employment.
- Increasing reliance on digital systems and

e-governance.

- Directing a larger share of the budget toward productive, strategic projects.

Restructuring government spending is no longer an economic option that can be delayed; it is a mandatory prerequisite to safeguarding the state's financial and monetary stability and ensuring its resilience against future oil shocks or political crises.

Second: Supporting the Private Sector and Creating a Genuine Investment Environment

Promoting the private sector in Iraq must evolve from empty rhetoric recited at conferences into concrete action to rescue the economy from its total reliance on oil and public employment. Building a robust private sector requires more than announcing loan initiatives; it requires an investment climate where local and foreign investors feel the state is a vested partner in their success, rather than an active hindrance.

Today, investors in Iraq navigate a labyrinth of administrative bureaucracy that frequently derails projects before they even launch. Instead of acting as facilitators, government institutions often devolve into convoluted webs of approvals, reviews, and red tape that drain investors' time, energy, and capital.

Genuine investors seek clarity, stability, and streamlined procedures, not just financing. It is unacceptable for an investor to arrive in the country and immediately encounter interrogation, complications, and opaque protocols at the airport, making investment feel like a high-risk gamble. Successful investment relies heavily on first impressions. Economically prosperous nations succeed not merely because they possess capital, but because they ensure investors feel welcomed, protected, and supported.

Furthermore, domestic business owners face relentless pressure from overlapping regulatory bodies. Investors find themselves besieged by municipalities, civil defense units, tax authorities, the mayoralty, and various other agencies. This creates a hostile environment that repels, rather than attracts, capital. The issue is not the existence of regulation itself, but the lack of coordination, the overlapping of jurisdictions, and the reality that some procedures devolve into extortionate pressure tactics that exhaust business owners and force them to consider downsizing or withdrawing.

True reform demands a paradigm shift from a "mentality of complication" to a "mentality of facilitation." Institutions must be redesigned to treat investors as foundational pillars of the national economy, not as subjects requiring endless bureaucratic oversight.

Simultaneously, private banks must play a more active role in funding the real economy rather than sticking to conventional short-term transactions. Projects succeed through intelligent, monitored financing, not just the issuance of loans. Historically, many financing failures stemmed from banks granting loans without subsequent oversight or field monitoring, resulting in funds being diverted toward personal consumption rather than corporate production.

Therefore, the current phase requires a highly professional financing model that includes:

- Disbursing project funds based on verified completion milestones.
- Conducting periodic follow-ups on financed projects.
- Providing consulting and administrative support to investors.
- Tying financing directly to tangible production and job creation.

- Requiring reasonable collateral that encourages serious investors rather than paralyzing them with impossible preconditions.

Investors do not oppose regulation; they oppose having their projects turn into exhaustive bureaucratic nightmares that drain their capital and enthusiasm before production even begins.

The Iraqi labor market also requires a comprehensive overhaul to foster competitiveness and flexibility. A productive environment necessitates real competition that incentivizes skill development. This can be achieved by training the local workforce and systematically regulating foreign labor based on actual market demands, ensuring knowledge transfer while motivating local workers to improve and compete.

Similarly, the Companies Registrar Directorate must be modernized. It must transition from an archaic, complex administrative hurdle into an agile, service-oriented entity that allows businesses to incorporate rapidly, clearly, and transparently. Economic modernization begins with the ease of doing business; endless paperwork, stamps, and delays only force investors to reconsider their plans.

Iraq does not suffer from a lack of resources or opportunities; it suffers from a dysfunctional economic environment that requires systemic reorganization to rebuild trust with domestic and foreign capital. When investors feel the state actively supports and protects them through clear and flexible policies, capital will transition from a state of fearful hesitation into active production and employment, gradually and sustainably weaning the economy off oil.

Third: Protecting Monetary Stability and Enhancing Confidence in the Iraqi Dinar

Monetary stability is not gauged merely by a pegged exchange rate or the sheer volume of foreign currency reserves. It is fundamentally measured by the level of trust that citizens, investors, and merchants place in the local currency and the government's economic policies. When trust falters, market movements are dictated by panic and speculation, not data and fundamentals.

During recent crises, the Iraqi market demonstrated that psychological factors critically influence dollar demand and exchange rates. Mere rumors regarding regional tensions, delayed government formation, or potential dollar shortages were enough to trigger massive buying sprees, even when actual dollar liquidity was sufficient.

This exposes the acute vulnerability of sensitive rentier economies: the dollar ceases to be a tool for trade and transforms into a "psychological safe haven" for citizens and merchants at the first sign of instability. Consequently, protecting the Iraqi dinar cannot rely indefinitely on Central Bank dollar injections or temporary market interventions. The root solution lies in cementing long-term economic confidence.

Furthermore, the persistent spread between the official and parallel exchange rates fosters an ecosystem of speculation, smuggling, and rapid profiteering that actively undermines monetary stability. As the margin widens, illicit arbitrage flourishes, and capital shifts away from legitimate trade and production into currency manipulation.

Therefore, this phase demands deliberate, structural monetary reforms that balance the protection of national reserves with the facilitation of legitimate trade, while simultaneously suffocating speculation in the parallel market.

Monetary policy must stringently direct dollar allocations exclusively toward verified economic activity. This requires aggressive oversight to block fictitious transfers and non-productive transactions, coupled with streamlined, expedited procedures for the legitimate merchants and importers who actually sustain the market.

Simultaneously, there is an urgent need to build confidence in the Iraqi dinar by expanding modern banking systems and electronic payment infrastructure. The economy must reduce its heavy reliance on physical cash. Furthermore, the government and banks must incentivize dinar-denominated savings and investment tools to break the market's psychological subservience to the dollar.

Modernizing the banking sector is indispensable for monetary stability. A contemporary economy cannot function if the public distrusts banks or if financial services remain primitive. Banks must evolve from rudimentary deposit and withdrawal centers into dynamic institutions that drive financing, development, and investment within the domestic economy.

Crucially, monetary maneuvers must be paired with clear, transparent official communication to reassure the public. Much of Iraq's monetary volatility is fueled by an information vacuum. When transparent official data is absent, rumors dictate market behavior, and fear becomes the primary economic driver.

A national currency's strength is not enforced by administrative decrees alone; it is cultivated through a productive economy, a thriving private sector, and unshakeable trust between the state, the market, and the citizenry. Thus, protecting the Iraqi dinar demands comprehensive economic reform, not merely temporary, reactive monetary firefighting.

Given current regional threats, monetary stability must be treated as a core component of national economic security. Any prolonged erosion of monetary trust will deal a direct blow to social stability, investment climates, and the nation's developmental future.

VIII. **Conclusion: Economic Diversification – The True Path to Stability**

Ultimately, it is abundantly clear that economic diversification is no longer an optional developmental luxury for Iraq; it is the definitive prerequisite for guaranteeing economic, monetary, and social stability in the years ahead. Recent crises have definitively proven that over-reliance on oil leaves the economy dangerously exposed to external shocks, regardless of temporary price surges or revenue windfalls.

Iraq must urgently follow the blueprint of other oil-exporting nations that successfully transitioned away from rentier models. These nations cultivated robust industrial, agricultural, tourism, and service sectors to generate diverse, sustainable revenue streams. They did not abandon oil; rather, they weaponized oil revenues to build a diversified economic fortress capable of withstanding global crises.

In reality, Iraq possesses foundational economic advantages that could make its diversification efforts far more successful than those of many other nations, provided these resources are intelligently managed. Iraq's strategic geographic location positions it to become a vital hub for transport, transit, and regional trade, particularly if it modernizes its highways, ports, airports, and aviation sectors.

The surge in travel and the heavy congestion at Iraqi airports in recent years highlight the massive, untapped potential of the aviation and tourism sectors. Iraq is no longer merely an oil state; it has become a global destination, attracting visitors drawn to religious tourism and the unparalleled historical heritage of Mesopotamia.

The profound economic impact of religious tourism, which currently anchors Iraq's travel sector, cannot be overstated. Millions of pilgrims enter Iraq annually, representing a colossal economic opportunity. If properly leveraged through the development of hotels, domestic transport, hospitality services, retail markets, and tourism infrastructure, this sector could become immensely lucrative.

Fundamentally, any viable economic venture requires a "customer base." Iraq already possesses an organic, continuous influx of visitors. This makes the tourism sector one of the most viable engines for mass job creation and sustainable revenue generation for both the state and the private sector.

Furthermore, Iraq's natural resources provide distinct competitive advantages across various heavy and light industries. Coupled with vast tracts of arable land and significant water resources from the Tigris and Euphrates rivers, Iraq possesses all the necessary ingredients to rebuild a dominant agricultural sector. This would bolster national food security and drastically reduce reliance on foreign imports.

However, none of these opportunities will materialize without rigorous economic planning, decisive execution, and relentless oversight to ensure theoretical plans translate into operational projects on the ground. Iraq's core problem has never been a lack of resources, but rather a catastrophic failure in resource management and investment.

Iraq stands before a historic opportunity to rebuild a diverse, resilient economy. Despite its hardships, the current crisis may serve as the necessary catalyst for a sweeping economic overhaul that places the nation on a trajectory toward lasting prosperity. Nations do not rise solely on the back of raw materials; they rise through the vision and competence required to transform crises into foundations for a stronger future.

Yet, potential remains meaningless unless managed by a professional economic administration equipped with a lucid vision, the courage to make hard decisions, and the capacity to execute and monitor complex plans. Economic diversification is not achieved via hollow slogans, elite conferences, or flashy, short-lived initiatives. It requires proactive planning, continuous impact assessment, rigid oversight, and the agility to correct failures before they compound.

The primary reason so many past economic initiatives failed in

Iraq was not a lack of funding. They failed due to disorganized economic management, absent oversight, and the degradation of strategic plans into chaotic, unstudied spending sprees lacking feasibility or sustainability. Consequently, grand development agendas became repetitive talking points for successive governments, yielding zero tangible results for citizens or the market.

An economy cannot be managed through reactive impulses, nor can authentic development stem from hasty, uncalculated decrees. A successful developmental framework requires:

- Rigorous feasibility studies prior to implementation.
- Clear, quantifiable objectives.
- Strict oversight of financial expenditures and project milestones.
- Periodic assessments to measure results and overarching economic impact.
- Strict accountability for failure or negligence.
- Continuity of execution, insulated from frequent political upheaval.

Successful economic diversification also hinges on the existence of stable institutions capable of executing long-term strategies, immune to the pressures of short-term political or electoral cycles. Nations that successfully transitioned from rentier to diversified economies did not achieve this overnight; they did so through decades of unwavering governmental commitment to implementation, monitoring, and continuous evaluation.

Therefore, what Iraq desperately needs today is not merely more capital or isolated projects. Above all, it needs an economic administration possessing the courage to make the right decisions, the technical capacity to execute them, and the unyielding political will to eradicate the waste, corruption, and systemic chaos that have squandered the nation's developmental potential for decades.

Economic diversification is not a temporary pilot program; it is the ultimate project of statecraft and national survival. Any attempt to pursue it without genuine planning, aggressive oversight, and continuous impact measurement will inevitably become yet another failed experiment-one that drains precious time and wealth without fundamentally altering Iraq's economic reality.

For an Active state and a participating society

www.bayancenter.org
info@bayancenter.org